

# The Hindley-Milner type system

A restricted form of polymorphism:

- $\forall$  only at outermost level
- Type variable stands only for a monotype, never a quantified type

Advantage: **no notation—type abstractions, apps are implicit**

- Type abstraction introduced at let binding
- Type application automatically at use

Basis for ML, Haskell, Objective Caml, ...

# ML examples

```
val id = fn x => x
```

**Becomes**

```
(val id (type-lambda ('a) (lambda (('a x)) x)))
```

**and**

```
3 :: []
```

**becomes**

```
((@ cons int) 3 (@ '() int))
```

# Representing Hindley-Milner types

## Quantifier at outermost level

- **Type**  $\tau$  is unquantified (tycon, tyvar, conapp)
- **Type scheme**  $\sigma$  is quantified type

Form of  $\sigma$  is always  $\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$ , where **possibly**  $n = 0$

$\tau \Rightarrow \alpha$	tyvar
	tycon
$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \tau$ where $n > 0$	conapp
$\sigma \Rightarrow \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$ where $n \geq 0$	

Key notation  $\tau <: \sigma$  means **instantiation**

- types to substitute are **unspecified**

# Hindley-Milner: Key ideas elaborated

Type environment  $\Gamma$  binds variable to **type scheme**

- Frequently have degenerate case with **zero quantified variables** (really a monotype)

Judgment  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  gives expression a **type**

At use, **automatically instantiate** type scheme

At **let** binding, **automatically abstract** over tyvars

- So called “Milner’s **let**”
- Refinement: abstract only over type variables **not bound in environment**

## Key ideas formalized: Some ML type rules

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \sigma \quad \tau <: \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad (\text{VAR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \tau} \quad (\text{IF})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

## ML type rules, continued

$$\frac{\Gamma\{x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n\} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e) : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau} \quad (\text{LAMBDA})$$

where  $\{x_i \mapsto \tau_i\}$  stands for  $\{x_i \mapsto \forall.\tau_i\}$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau' \\ \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \text{fv}(\tau') - \text{fv}(\Gamma) \\ \Gamma\{x \mapsto \forall\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n.\tau'\} \vdash e : \tau \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{MLET}(x, e', e) : \tau} \quad (\text{MILNER'S LET})$$

# Things to notice

**Lambda-bound variables are monomorphic**

**Let-bound variables are polymorphic**

**We have to guess the right types!**

# Type inference

## Guess the types

- aka type reconstruction

## How does it work?

- Type variable for each **unknown type**
- As information becomes available, **substitute** for type variables
- Accumulated info represented by substitutions
- Substitution driven by **unification**



# Instances and substitution

## Examples

`int <: 'a`

`int list <: 'a`

`int list <: 'a list`

**not** `int <: 'a list`

And the **instance relation**  $\tau_1 <: \tau_2$ :

$\tau_1$  is an instance of  $\tau_2$  ( $\tau_2$  is more general than  $\tau_1$ )

if and only if  $\exists \theta : \tau_1 = \theta \tau_2$

Use substitutions in type inference

# Instance properties

**Theorem:**  $\alpha$  is the most general type  
(every type  $\tau$  is an instance of  $\alpha$ )

**Proof:** let  $\theta = \alpha \mapsto \tau$

**Theorem:**  $< :$  is a partial order.

**Proof:** reflexive by  $\lambda\tau.\tau$ , transitive by composition,  
antisymmetric because only  $\lambda\tau.\tau$  is its own  
inverse

# Instantiation intuition

Consider application  $(e_1 e_2)$

- $e_1$  must have some arrow type, call it  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- $e_2$  must have some type, call it  $\gamma$
- Instantiated  $\gamma$  must equal instantiated  $\alpha$
- Result type is instantiated  $\beta$

Want **most general** instantiation

**Example:** `length [true,false]`

- Type of  $e_1$  is `'a list -> int`
- Type of  $e_2$  is `bool list`
- Instantiate  $e_1$  at `bool`; result type is `int`

## More instantiation

Another example:

```
val f = fn (x, n) => n + 1
val g = fn y => f (0, y)
```

In application  $f (0, y)$ ,

```
      f : 'a * int -> int
(0, y) : int * 'b
```

Must instantiate both  $f$  and  $y$  correctly

Application is clearly OK, assuming that

```
'a  $\mapsto$  int, 'b  $\mapsto$  int
```

Finding the right substitution is **unification**

# Unification

To make the idea precise, we say that

$\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are unified by  $\theta$

if  $\theta\tau_1 = \theta\tau_2$

So  $'a * \text{int}$  and  $\text{int} * 'b$  are unified by

$('a \mapsto \text{int}) \circ ('b \mapsto \text{int})$

Substitution  $\theta$  represents assumptions about type variables

Unifier satisfies the equality constraint  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$

# Most General Unifiers

Makes no unnecessary assumptions

'a list and 'b are unified by

'a  $\mapsto$  int list, 'b  $\mapsto$  int list list

'a list and 'b are unified by 'b  $\mapsto$  'a list

Which unifier is more general?

$\theta_1$  is an instance of  $\theta_2$  iff  $\exists \theta$  such that  $\theta_1 = \theta \circ \theta_2$

A **most general unifier** of types  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is a substitution  $\theta$  such that

- $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are unified by  $\theta$  ( $\theta\tau_1 = \theta\tau_2$ )
- there is no more general  $\theta$  that unifies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$

It's easy to implement

# From type rules to type inference

Key idea: given  $\Gamma$  and  $e$ , compute  $\theta$  and  $\tau$  such that

$$\theta\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

Idea #2: extend to list of  $e_i$ :  $\theta\Gamma \vdash e_1, \dots, e_n : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \tau} \quad (\mathbf{IF})$$

becomes (note equality constraints)

$$\frac{\theta\Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2, e_3 : \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \quad \theta'\tau_1 = \theta'\mathbf{bool} \quad \theta'\tau_2 = \theta'\tau_3}{(\theta' \circ \theta)\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3) : \theta'\tau_3} \quad (\mathbf{IF})$$

## Type rules to type inference, cont'd

$$\frac{\Gamma\{x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \tau_n\} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e) : \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau}$$

**(LAMBDA)**

becomes

$$\frac{\theta(\Gamma\{x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \alpha_n\}) \vdash e : \tau \quad \alpha_i \notin \text{fv}(\Gamma)}{\theta\Gamma \vdash \text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e) : \theta\alpha_1 \times \dots \times \theta\alpha_n \rightarrow \tau}$$

**(LAMBDA)**

**N.B.**  $\theta(\Gamma\{x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \alpha_n\}) = (\theta\Gamma)\{x_1 \mapsto \theta\alpha_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \theta\alpha_n\}$



## Type rules to type inference, finish

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

becomes

$$\frac{\theta\Gamma \vdash e, e_1, \dots, e_n : \hat{\tau}, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \quad \theta'(\hat{\tau}) = \theta'(\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \alpha), \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is fresh}}{(\theta' \circ \theta)\Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \theta'\alpha} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

## More explicit substitutions

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau' \quad \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \text{fv}(\tau') - \text{fv}(\Gamma) \quad \Gamma\{x \mapsto \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau'\} \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{MLET}(x, e', e) : \tau}$$

**(MILNER'S LET)**

becomes

$$\frac{\theta' \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau' \quad \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \text{fv}(\tau') - \text{fv}(\theta' \Gamma) \quad \theta((\theta' \Gamma)\{x \mapsto \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau'\}) \vdash e : \tau}{(\theta \circ \theta') \Gamma \vdash \text{MLET}(x, e', e) : \tau}$$

**(MILNER'S LET)**

# Type inference, operationally

Like type checking:

- Top-down, bottom up pass over abstract syntax
- Use  $\Gamma$  to look up types of variables

Different from type checking:

- Use equality constraint to get substitution  $\theta$
- Use  $\theta$  to modify  $\Gamma$

$\Gamma$  represents assumptions

## Operational example

Start with `val f = fn x => ...`

Add binding `x : 'a` to  $\Gamma$

no assumptions about `x` (any unknown monotype)

continue with body: `val f = fn x => x + 1`

Look up `+` in  $\Gamma$ , find `+ : int * int → int`

Unify `(x, 1) : 'a * int` with `int * int` (constraint)

most general unifier is  $\theta = 'a \mapsto \text{int}$

We modify the environment: “ $\theta\Gamma$ ” (theta on Gamma)

(In new environment, `x : int`)

We'll give you a suitable abstract data type...

# Implementing type inference

Calling `typeof(e, Gamma)` returns pair `(tau, theta)` such that `theta on Gamma ⊢ e : tau`

$$\theta\Gamma \vdash e, e_1, \dots, e_n : \hat{\tau}, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$$

$$\frac{\theta'(\hat{\tau}) = \theta'(\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \alpha), \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is fresh}}{(\theta' \circ \theta)\Gamma \vdash \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n) : \theta'\alpha} \quad (\text{APPLY})$$

Write `funty =  $\hat{\tau}$ , actualtypes =  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ , rettype =  $\alpha$ :`

```
fun typeof(APPLY (f, actuals)) =  
  let val (funty :: actualtypes, theta) = (*cheat*)  
        typeof (f :: actuals, Gamma)  
      val rettype = freshtyvar()  
      val theta' =  
        unify(funty, funtype(actualtypes, rettype))  
  in (theta' rettype, theta' o theta)  
  end
```

# Type-inference example

# Type systems: Things to remember

Compile-time checking

Type soundness

Type erasure

Examples

- **Simple monomorphic: like C**
- **General polymorphic: super-powerful, but too much notation**
- **Hindley-Milner polymorphic: powerful, no notation**